Message Text

PAGE 01 VIENNA 01416 151933Z

40

ACTION SS-30

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /031 W

----- 054897

P 151658Z FEB 74 FM AMEMBASSY VIENNA TO SECSTATE WAHSDC PRIORITY 1644 SECDEF/WASHDC PRIORITY

SECRET VIENNA 1416

EXDIS

NOFORN

FROM US REP MBFR

EO: 11652: GDS TAGS: PARM, NATO

SUBJ: MBFR: DISCUSSION WITH SOVIET REPS FEB 15, 1974

- 1. BEGIN SUMMARY: FOLLOWING INDICATION OF SOVIMT INTEREST IN FURTHER BILATERAL DISCUSSION ON DEFINITION OF TOPICS FOR INFORMAL GATHERINGS, US REP AND DEPREP MET SOVIET REPS KHLESTOV AND SMIRNOVSKY ON FEB 15. SOVIETS ADVANCED POSITION SIMILAR TO THAT THEY HAD TAKEN IN LAST MULTILATERAL INFORMAL SESSIONS, BUT EVENTUALLY INDICATED WILLINGNESS TO REVIEW THEIR POSITION WITH A VIEW TO THEIR REMARKS AT NEXT MULTILATERAL SESSION SCHEDULED FOR FEB 20. END SUMMARY.
- 2. US REP TOLD SOVIETS IT WAS IN HIS VIEW TIME TO GET DOWN TO BUSINESS AND NOT ENGAGE IN USUAL PROCEDURAL FENCING. ALLIES HAD PRESENTED WORKABLE DEFINITION OF DISCUSSION TOPIC WHOSE FURTHER INVESTIGATION HE BELIEVED WOULD BE IN MUTUAL INTEREST, BUILDING INTO SUGGESTED FRAMEWORK FULLY ADEQUATE GUARANTEES THAT THIS LINE OF DISCUSSION WOULD NOT PREJUDICE SUBSTANTIVE INTERESTS OF EITHER SIDE. HE SAW NO REASON, IF SOVIETS MEANT BUSINESS, WHY THEY WHOULD NOT ACCEPT THIS PROPOSAL. WITH AGREEMENT OF HIS ALLIED COLLEAGUES, HE HAD EVEN MADE FORMULA MORE EXPLICIT IN THE SENSE THAT IT COULD NOW CONSIST OF THREE ITEMS: US-SOVIET GROUND FORSECRET

PAGE 02 VIENNA 01416 151933Z

CES, INCLUSION OF OTHER DIRECT PARTICIPANTS AND PHASING. THIS SHOULD MEET ANY REASONABLE INTERESTS.

3. KHLESTOV SAID HIS PROPOSAL TO DISCUSS FOREIGN AND NATIONAL

FORCES COVERED ALL POINTS UNDER THE REDUCTION PROGRAM AND WOULD PERMIT U.S. TO LEAD OFF WITH DISCUSSION OF US-SOVIET GROUND FORCES IF IT WISHED TO. HE SAID US REP'S EFFORT TO DIVIDE SUBJECT MAT-TER INTO SUB-COMPONENTS OF US-SOVIET GROUND FORCES AND FORCES OF OTHER DIRECT PARTICIPANTS WAS PREJUDICIAL TO THE SOVIET PROGRAM BECAUSE THE LATTER DID NOT RECOGNIZE THESE SUB-CATEGORIES AS IN-DIVIDUAL TOPICS OF DISCUSSION. ONE SHOULD TAKE THE WHOLE SUB-JECT MATTER OF FORCE REDUCTIONS AND UNDER THIS HEADING, VIEWS OF BOTH SIDES COULD BE INFORMALLY DISCUSSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE OR COM-MITMENT. THE US COULD LEAD OFF WITH SOVIET-US GROUND FORCES. AS A PURELY THEORETICAL OBSERVATION, HE COULD NOT EXCLUDE THE POSSI-BILITY THAT, AFTER SUFFICIENT DISCUSSION, AGREEMENT MIGHT IN THE FUTURE BE REACHED ON A US-SOVIET REDUCTION WHICH WOULD ALSO HAVE TO INCLUDE AIR OR NUCLEAR ELEMENTS. BUT THIS WAS A PURELY THEO-RETICAL CONSIDERATION. THE PARTICIPANTS WERE NOT DISCUSSING SUB-STANCE NOW, BUT PROCEDURE.

- 4. US REP COMMENTED THAT HE CONSIDERED THE SOVIET HEADINGS PREJUDICIAL. THE ALLIES HAD CAREFULLY FORMULATED A DISCUSSION SUBJECT WHOSE TREATMENT THEY BELIEVED MIGHT HAVE PRODUCTIVE RESULTS. THEY HAD DONE SO FOLLOWING INFORMAL INDICATIONS FROM EASTERN SIDE OF POSSIBLE INTEREST IN PHASING, WITH A FIRST PHASE FOCUSING ON US-SOVIET FORCES, UNDER APPROPRIATE CONDITIONS. THERE WAS NO POINT IN FENCING AROUND AS THOUGH THE TALKS WERE JUST BEGINNING AND A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF DISCUSSION HAD NOT ALREADY TAKEN PLACE.
- 5. KHLESTOV ARGUED ONCE AGAIN THAT THE DESIGNATION "US-SOVIET GROUND FORCES" WAS PREJUDICIAL TO THE SOVIET POSITION AND THAT HE COULD NOT ACCEPT IT AS A BASIS FOR COMMON DISCUSSION. HE SAID HE MIGHT BE WILLING TO SEPARATE NUCLEAR AND AIR ELEMENTS FROM THE FOREIGN FORCES AND NATIONAL FORCES FORMULA AND AGREE TO A 4-ITEM TOPIC OF DISCUSSION, STARTING WITH FOREIGN FORCES, THEN NATIONAL FORCES, THEN AIR AND NUCLEAR FORCES. HE MIGHT ALSO BE WILLING TO ACCEPT "STAGES" AS A FIFTH TOPIC.
- 6. US REP SAID THAT ALTHOUGH THE JUNE 28 COMMUNIQUE GAVE PARTICIPANTS RIGHT TO RAISE ANY RELEVANT SUBJECT FOR NEGOTIATION AND SECRET

PAGE 03 VIENNA 01416 151933Z

THIS COULD NOT BE GAINSAID, ALLIES HAD NOT CHANGED THEIR NEGATIVE POSITION ON INCLUSION OF AIR AND NUCLEAR WEAPONS. KHLESTOV INSISTED THAT THESE WERE ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF THE SOVIET APPROACH AND THAT TO LEAVE THEM COMPLETELY ASIDE WOULD INDICATE A CHANGE OF POSITION.

7. US REP SAID THAT, UNLESS THERE WAS SOME CHANGE, HE SAW NO POSSIBILITY OF PROGRESS TOWARDS AN AGREED FORMAULATION. BOTH SIDES SHOULD RETHINK THEIR POSITION WITH A VIEW TO THE MULTILATERAL SESSION SCHEDULED FOR FEB 20. KHLESTOV AGREED, SAID SOVIETS DID NOT WISH TO BE DOGMATIC AND WOULD REVIEW THEIR POSITION. HE SAID IF AGREEMENT WERE REACHED ON A DEFINITION OF THE TOPICS TO BE DISCUSSED, SOVIETS WOULD WISH TO HAVE ONE OR MORE SESSIONS

OF THE MULTILATERAL GROUP ON EACH OF THE TOPICS AGREED ON SO THAT DISCUSSION WOULD NOT SIMPLY REMAIN SUSPENDED ON ONE TOPIC. US REP INDICATED THAT IF FORMULATION OF TOPICS TO BE DISCUSSED WERE ADEQUATE, THIS MIGHT FROM HIS VIEWPOINT BE POSSIBLE.HUMES

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z Capture Date: 27 JUL 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: DOCUMENTS, DISPUTES, ITINERARY, DIPLOMATIC DISCUSSIONS, DIPLOMATIC PERSONNEL

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 15 FEB 1974 Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974/JENNA01416

Document Number: 1974VIENNA01416 Document Source: ADS Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: n/a

Film Number: P740146-1111

From: VIENNA

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740268/abbrzaoc.tel Line Count: 115 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION SS

Original Classification: SECRET

Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS NOFORN Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET

Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS NOFORN

Reference: n/a

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: golinofr

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 21 MAR 2002

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <21 MAR 2002 by shawdg>; APPROVED <01 MAY 2002 by golinofr>

Review Markings:

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: MBFR: DISCUSSION WITH SOVIET REPS FEB 15, 1974

TAGS: PARM, US, AU, UR, NATO

To: STATE WAHSDC SECDEF

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005